

**RANDOM PROCESSES - SOLUTION OF THE FINAL EXAM
2001**

PAVEL CHIGANSKY

Problem 1.

a. $\mathbf{E}(\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi|\eta)|\eta) = \mathbf{E}(\xi|\eta)$ is generally **FALSE**, since $\mathbf{E}(\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi|\eta)|\eta) = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi|\eta)$, **P**-a.s. (see b.)

b. $\mathbf{E}(\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi|\eta)|\eta) = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi|\eta)$ is **TRUE**, since $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi|\eta)$ is a (linear) function of η .

c. $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{E}(\xi|\eta)|\eta) = \mathbf{E}(\xi|\eta)$ is generally **FALSE**, since the left side expression is a linear function of η , and the conditional expectation on the right side is nonlinear function of η generally.

d. $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{E}(\xi|\eta)|\eta) = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi|\eta)$ is **TRUE**. Indeed:

$$(1.1) \quad \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{E}(\xi|\eta)|\eta) = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}(\xi|\eta) + \frac{\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}(\xi|\eta)\eta}{\mathbf{E}\eta^2}(\eta - \mathbf{E}\eta) \stackrel{\dagger}{=} \mathbf{E}\xi + \frac{\mathbf{E}\xi\eta}{\mathbf{E}\eta^2}(\eta - \mathbf{E}\eta) = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi|\eta)$$

where \dagger follows from the definition of cond. exp.

Problem 2. To show convergence in \mathbb{L}^2 sense (and hence also in prob. and in law) it suffices to verify the Cauchy property:

$$\mathbf{E}(I(X_n = i) - I(X_m = i))^2 = p_n(i) + p_m(i) - 2\mathbf{P}(X_n = i|X_m = i)p_m(i) \xrightarrow{n,m \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

where $p_n(i) = \mathbf{P}(X_n = i)$ for convenience.

Calculate the probabilities $p_n(i)$, $i = -1, 0, 1$:

$$p_n(0) = \mathbf{P}(X_n = 0) = \mathbf{P}(X_n = 0|X_0 = 0)\beta = (1/4)^n\beta, \quad n \geq 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(-1) &= \mathbf{P}(X_n = -1) = 1 \cdot \mathbf{P}(X_{n-1} = -1) + 1/4\mathbf{P}(X_{n-1} = 0) \\ &= p_{n-1}(-1) + 1/4p_{n-1}(0) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(-1) &= \alpha + 1/4\beta \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (1/4)^i = \alpha + 1/4\beta \frac{1 - (1/4)^n}{1 - 1/4} = \\ &= \alpha + 1/3\beta - 1/3\beta(1/4)^n \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(1) &= p_{n-1}(1) + 1/2p_{n-1}(0) = \gamma + 1/2\beta \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (1/4)^i = \\ &= \gamma + 1/2\beta \frac{1 - (1/4)^n}{1 - 1/4} = \gamma + 2/3\beta - 2/3\beta(1/4)^n \end{aligned}$$

Now (say for $n \geq m$)

$$\begin{aligned} & p_n(0) + p_m(0) - 2\mathbf{P}(X_n = 0|X_m = 0)p_m(0) = \\ & = \beta(1/4)^m + \beta(1/4)^n - 2(1/4)^{n-m}\beta(1/4)^m = \\ & = \beta(1/4)^m - \beta(1/4)^n \xrightarrow{n,m \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & p_n(-1) + p_m(-1) - 2\mathbf{P}(X_n = -1|X_m = -1)p_m(-1) = p_n(-1) - p_m(-1) = \\ & = 1/3\beta(1/4)^n - 1/3\beta(1/4)^m \xrightarrow{n,m \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & p_n(1) + p_m(1) - 2\mathbf{P}(X_n = 1|X_m = 1)p_m(1) = p_n(1) - p_m(1) = \\ & = 2/3\beta(1/4)^n - 2/3\beta(1/4)^m \xrightarrow{n,m \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

which means that X_n is a \mathbb{L}^2 Cauchy sequence and thus converges to a limit, which is a random variable, say X .

The sequence converges also with probability one. To show this it suffices (why?) to verify that

$$\mathbf{E}\|I_n - I\|^q \leq C\rho^n$$

for some $C, q > 0$ and $0 < \rho < 1$, where

$$I_n = \begin{bmatrix} I(X_n = -1) \\ I(X_n = 0) \\ I(X_n = 1) \end{bmatrix}$$

and I is its limit. Since I_n converges in \mathbb{L}^2 ,

$$I = I_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (I_m - I_{m-1})$$

so that we have to verify (e.g. for $q = 1$)

$$\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}(I(X_m = i) - I(X_{m-1} = i))^2} \leq C(i)\rho(i)^n$$

for $i = -1, 0, 1$. Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(I(X_m = 0) - I(X_{m-1} = 0))^2 &= \beta(1/4)^{m-1}(1 - 1/4) \\ \mathbf{E}(I(X_m = 1) - I(X_{m-1} = 1))^2 &= \beta 1/3(1/4)^{m-1}(1 - 1/4) \\ \mathbf{E}(I(X_m = -1) - I(X_{m-1} = -1))^2 &= \beta 2/3(1/4)^{m-1}(1 - 1/4) \end{aligned}$$

so that e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}(I(X_m = 0) - I(X_{m-1} = 0))^2} &\leq \text{const.} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} (1/2)^{m-1} \\ &\leq \text{const.}(1/2)^n \end{aligned}$$

b.

$$F_n(x) := \mathbf{P}(X_n \leq x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in (-\infty, -1) \\ \alpha + 1/3\beta - 1/3\beta(1/4)^n & x \in [-1, 0) \\ \alpha + 1/3\beta + 2/3\beta(1/4)^n & x \in [0, 1) \\ 1 & x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |F_n(x) - F(x)| = 0$, where

$$F(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & x \in (-\infty, -1) \\ \alpha + 1/3\beta & x \in [-1, 1) \\ 1 & x \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

which means that $X = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$ is a random variable with values $\{-1, 1\}$ and $\mathbf{P}(X = -1) = \alpha + 1/3\beta$ and $\mathbf{P}(X = 1) = \gamma + 2/3\beta$.

c. Clearly X is deterministic only if $\alpha = 1$ or $\gamma = 1$.

Problem 3.

a. A standard derivation of the optimal filter: put $\pi_{n|n-1}(i) = \mathbf{P}(X_n = a_i | Y_0^{n-1})$ and $\pi_n(i) = \mathbf{P}(X_n = a_i | Y_0^n) := G(Y_n; Y_0^{n-1})$. Fix an arbitrary function $h(x) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The cond. exp. $G(Y_n; Y_0^{n-1})$ should satisfy **P**-a.s.

$$\mathbf{E}(I(X_n = a_i)h(Y_n) | Y_0^{n-1}) = \mathbf{E}(h(Y_n)G(Y_n; Y_0^{n-1}) | Y_0^{n-1})$$

The left hand side gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}(I(X_n = a_i)h(Y_n) | Y_0^{n-1}) = \\ & = \mathbf{E}(I(X_n = a_i)[I(a_i \in \mathcal{J})h(1) + I(a_i \notin \mathcal{J})h(0)] | Y_0^{n-1}) = \\ & = \pi_{n|n-1}(i)[I(a_i \in \mathcal{J})h(1) + I(a_i \notin \mathcal{J})h(0)] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the right hand side gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{E}(h(Y_n)G(Y_n; Y_0^{n-1}) | Y_0^{n-1}) = \\ & = \mathbf{E}[I(X_n \in \mathcal{J})h(1)G(1; Y_0^{n-1}) + I(X_n \notin \mathcal{J})h(0)G(1; Y_0^{n-1}) | Y_0^{n-1}] = \\ & = \mathbf{P}(X_n \in \mathcal{J} | Y_0^{n-1})h(1)G(1; Y_0^{n-1}) + \mathbf{P}(X_n \notin \mathcal{J} | Y_0^{n-1})h(0)G(0; Y_0^{n-1}) = \\ & = h(1)G(1; Y_0^{n-1}) \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \pi_{n|n-1}(i) + h(0)G(0; Y_0^{n-1}) \sum_{i \notin \mathcal{J}} \pi_{n|n-1}(i) \end{aligned}$$

comparing the above expressions we arrive at

$$\pi_n(i) = \frac{\pi_{n|n-1}(i)I(a_i \in \mathcal{J})}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \pi_{n|n-1}(i)} I(Y_n = 1) + \frac{\pi_{n|n-1}(i)I(a_i \notin \mathcal{J})}{\sum_{i \notin \mathcal{J}} \pi_{n|n-1}(i)} I(Y_n = 0)$$

so (ii) is correct.

Remark: the correct answer can be found also by excluding answers, which do not satisfy obvious requirements, e.g. $\sum_i \pi_n(i) \equiv 1$, or $\pi_n(i) \equiv 0$ if $Y_n = 1$ and $i \notin \mathcal{J}$, etc.

b. Use familiar state-space representation for Markov chains:

$$I_n = \Lambda^* I_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n$$

where ε_n is a sequence of zero mean vector random variables such that

$$\mathbf{E}\varepsilon_n \varepsilon_m^* = 0, \quad n \neq m$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}\varepsilon_n \varepsilon_n^* = \text{diag}(p_n) - \Lambda \text{diag}(p_{n-1}) \Lambda^* := D_n$$

where $p_n = \mathbf{E}I_n$. Note also that $Y_n = u^*I_n = u^*\Lambda^*I_{n-1} + u^*\varepsilon_n$, where u is a column vector with ones at indices corresponding to \mathcal{J} and zeros otherwise. So the Kalman filter recursion is

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\pi}_n &= \Lambda^*\hat{\pi}_{n-1} + (\Lambda^*P_{n-1}\Lambda + D_n)u(u^*\Lambda^*P_{n-1}\Lambda u + u^*D_n u)^+ (Y_n - u^*\Lambda^*\hat{\pi}_{n-1}) \\ P_n &= \Lambda^*P_{n-1}\Lambda + D_n - \\ &\quad - (\Lambda^*P_{n-1}\Lambda + D_n)u(u^*\Lambda^*P_{n-1}\Lambda u + u^*D_n u)^+ u^*(\Lambda^*P_{n-1}\Lambda + D_n)\end{aligned}$$

subject to $\hat{\pi}_0 = p_0$ and $P_0 = \text{diag}(p_0) - p_0 p_0^*$.

Problem 4.

a. The pair (θ, Y_n) is Gaussian and obeys the model ($\theta_n \equiv \theta$)

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_n &= \theta_{n-1} \\ Y_n &= \theta_{n-1} + \xi_n, \quad n \geq 1\end{aligned}$$

subject to $\theta_0 = \theta$. The optimal estimate is given by Kalman filter

$$\begin{aligned}m_n &= m_{n-1} + \frac{P_{n-1}^m}{P_{n-1}^m + 1} (Y_n - m_{n-1}) \\ P_n^m &= P_{n-1}^m - \frac{(P_{n-1}^m)^2}{P_{n-1}^m + 1}\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}m_n &= m_{n-1} + P_n^m (Y_n - m_{n-1}) \\ P_n^m &= \frac{P_{n-1}^m}{P_{n-1}^m + 1}\end{aligned}$$

subject to $m_0 = 0$ and $P_0^m = 1$.

b. From the mouse point of view the signal (cat's position) is m_n and the observation is θ , i.e. it sees the following model

$$\begin{aligned}m_n &= (1 - P_n^m)m_{n-1} + P_n^m(\theta_{n-1} + \xi_n) \\ \theta_n &= \theta_{n-1}\end{aligned}$$

Let $c_n = \mathbf{E}(m_n|\theta) \equiv \mathbf{E}(m_n|\theta_0^n)$ and $P_n^c = \mathbf{E}(m_n - c_n)^2$. The pair (θ, m_n) is Gaussian so the optimal estimate is given by Kalman filter:

$$\begin{aligned}c_n &= (1 - P_n^m)c_{n-1} + P_n^m\theta_{n-1} \equiv (1 - P_n^m)c_{n-1} + P_n^m\theta \\ P_n^c &= (1 - P_n^m)^2 P_{n-1}^c + (P_n^m)^2\end{aligned}$$

subject to $c_0 = 0$ and $P_0^c = 0$ (why?)

c. Consider a simple average estimate of $\check{m}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n Y_k$. Clearly $\mathbf{E}(\theta - m_n)^2 \leq \mathbf{E}(\theta - \check{m}_n)^2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n^m = 0$. Now consider a simple constant estimate $\check{c}_n \equiv \theta$. Clearly

$$(1.2) \quad P_n^c = \mathbf{E}(c_n - m_n)^2 \leq \mathbf{E}(\check{c}_n - m_n)^2 = \mathbf{E}(\theta - m_n)^2 = P_n^m \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

d. The correct answer is $P_n^c \leq P_n^m$ as follows from (1.2).

e. The relation of (d) holds also generally by the very same argument as in (1.2): let $(\theta_n, Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a pair of random sequences, then

$$P_n^c = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}(\theta_n | Y_0^n) - \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}(\theta_n | Y_0^n) | \theta_0^n)]^2 \leq \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}(\theta_n | Y_0^n) - \theta_n]^2 = P_n^m$$