

**RANDOM PROCESSES - SOLUTION OF THE FINAL EXAM 2001 (B)**

PAVEL CHIGANSKY

**Problem 1.**

(a)  $\mathbf{E}(\eta|\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi)) = \mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)$  is (generally) **TRUE** if and only if  $\alpha := \mathbf{E}\eta\xi/\mathbf{E}\xi^2 \neq 0$ , since in this case  $\xi$  and  $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi)$  are related by one to one correspondence, i.e.  $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi) = \alpha\xi$ .

(b)  $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)) = \mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)$  is **TRUE**:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)) = \frac{\mathbf{E}\eta\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)}{\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi))^2}\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi) = \mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)$$

(if  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi))^2 = 0$  the statement holds by definition).

Another argument is that  $\eta - \mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)$  is orthogonal to any (integrable) function of  $\xi$  and thus in particular to random variables of the form  $\alpha + \beta\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)$  for any  $\alpha, \beta$ . The result follows by uniqueness (**P**-a.s) of the orthogonal projection.

(c) in view of (b)  $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)) = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi)$  is obviously **FALSE**, whenever  $\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi) \neq \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi)$ .

(d)  $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi)) = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi)$  is **TRUE**:

$$\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi)) = \frac{\mathbf{E}\eta\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi)}{\mathbf{E}(\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi))^2}\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi) = \frac{\alpha\mathbf{E}\eta\xi}{\alpha^2\mathbf{E}\xi^2}\alpha\xi = \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi)$$

Alternatively, the argument similar to (b) can be used.

(e) the statement is **TRUE**:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\eta|\xi) = \xi &\implies \mathbf{E}(\eta - \xi)\xi = 0 \\ \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi|\eta) = \eta &\implies \mathbf{E}(\eta - \xi)\eta = 0\end{aligned}$$

By subtracting the two equations one obtains:

$$\mathbf{E}(\eta - \xi)^2 = 0$$

that is  $\eta = \xi$ , **P** - a.s.

(f) the statement is **TRUE**:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi) - \eta)^2 = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)(\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi) - \eta) - \mathbf{E}\eta(\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi) - \eta) = 0$$

where the first term vanishes by virtue of orthogonality property of cond. expectation and the second term equals zero, since  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi)|\eta) = \eta$  and  $\mathbf{E}\eta^2 < \infty$  implies  $\mathbf{E}\eta(\mathbf{E}(\eta|\xi) - \eta) = 0$ .

**Problem 2.**

(a) The solution is quite standard - fix an arbitrary function  $h : \{0, 1\} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(I(X_n = i)h(\nu_n)|\nu_1^{n-1}) &= \mathbf{E}(I(X_n = i) \sum_j I(X_{n-1} = j)h(\nu_n)|\nu_1^{n-1}) \\ &= h(0)\mathbf{E}(I(X_n = i)I(X_{n-1} = i)|\nu_1^{n-1}) + h(1)\mathbf{E}(\sum_{j \neq i} I(X_n = i)I(X_{n-1} = j)|\nu_1^{n-1}) \\ &= h(0)\lambda_{ii}\pi_{n-1}(i) + h(1)\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_{ji}\pi_{n-1}(j) \end{aligned}$$

So that if  $\pi_n(i) = G(\nu_n; \nu_1^{n-1})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(h(\nu_n)G(\nu_n; \nu_1^{n-1})|\nu_1^{n-1}) &= \dots \\ &= h(0)G(0; \nu_1^{n-1}) \sum_{\ell} \lambda_{\ell\ell}\pi_{n-1}(\ell) + h(1)G(1; \nu_1^{n-1}) \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_{ji}\pi_{n-1}(j) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing  $h(0)$  and  $h(1)$  terms in the above equation obtain:

$$\pi_n(i) = \frac{\lambda_{ii}\pi_{n-1}(i)}{\sum_j \lambda_{jj}\pi_{n-1}(j)} I(\nu_n = 0) + \frac{\sum_{\ell \neq i} \lambda_{\ell i}\pi_{n-1}(\ell)}{\sum_k \sum_{\ell \neq k} \lambda_{\ell k}\pi_{n-1}(\ell)} I(\nu_n = 1)$$

(b) Similarly, fix a pair of bounded functions  $h : \{0, 1\} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}(I(X_n = i)h(\nu_n)g(Y_n)|\nu_1^{n-1}, Y_1^{n-1}) &= \\ &= h(0)\mathbf{E}(I(X_n = i)I(X_{n-1} = i)g(a_i + \xi_n)|\nu_1^{n-1}, Y_1^{n-1}) + \\ &+ h(1)\mathbf{E}(\sum_{j \neq i} I(X_n = i)I(X_{n-1} = j)g(a_i + \xi_n)|\nu_1^{n-1}, Y_1^{n-1}) = \\ &= h(0)\lambda_{ii}\zeta_{n-1}(i) \int g(a_i + x)f(x)dx + h(1)\sum_{j \neq i} \lambda_{ji}\zeta_{n-1}(j) \int g(a_i + x)f(x)dx \end{aligned}$$

from where it is not difficult to guess the correct answer:

$$\zeta_n(i) = \frac{\lambda_{ii}\zeta_{n-1}(i)f(Y_n - a_i)}{\sum_j \lambda_{jj}\zeta_{n-1}(j)f(Y_n - a_j)} I(\nu_n = 0) + \frac{f(Y_n - a_i) \sum_{\ell \neq i} \lambda_{\ell i}\zeta_{n-1}(\ell)}{\sum_k f(Y_n - a_k) \sum_{\ell \neq k} \lambda_{\ell k}\zeta_{n-1}(\ell)} I(\nu_n = 1)$$

**Problem 3.**

(a) (1)  $(X_n)$  converges in all mentioned senses, since  $Q_n := \mathbf{E}X_n^2 \rightarrow 0$ :

$$Q_n = \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 Q_{n-1} + \left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2 Q_{n-1} \mathbf{E}\varepsilon_1^2 = \left(\frac{9}{16} + \frac{9}{16} \cdot \frac{1}{3}\right) Q_{n-1}$$

(2)  $(X_n)$  does not converge in  $\mathbb{L}^2$ :

$$Q_n = \left(\frac{9}{16} + \frac{9}{16} \cdot 1\right) Q_{n-1} \implies Q_n \nearrow \infty$$

Still we have other types of convergence, since  $\mu_n = \mathbf{E}|X_n|$  obeys

$$\mu_n = \mu_{n-1} \mathbf{E} \left| \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_1 \right| = \mu_{n-1} \left( 0 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4} \right| \right) = \frac{3}{4} \mu_{n-1}$$

and hence  $\mathbf{E}|X_n| \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(3) Obviously  $X_n$  is a Markov chain with two possible values:  $\pm 1$  - moreover, since  $\mathbf{P}(\varepsilon_1 = 1) = 1/2$ ,  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is i.i.d. sequence, and hence it converges in distribution to an equiprobable binary random variable. Clearly it does not converge in any strong sense (e.g. it is not fundamental in Cauchy sense)!

(4) It is easy to see that  $X_n$  does not converge (to zero) neither in  $\mathbb{L}^2$ :

$$Q_n = Q_{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1\right) \implies Q_n \nearrow \infty$$

nor in  $\mathbb{L}^1$ :

$$\mu_n = \mu_{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2}\right) = \mu_{n-1} \implies \mu_n \equiv 1$$

It is logical to use Chebyshev inequality for lower moments to discover convergence in probability: let  $\xi$  be a positive r.v. with  $\mathbf{E}\xi^q < \infty$  for some  $q > 0$ , then with  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbf{E}\xi^q = \mathbf{E}\xi^q I(\xi \geq \varepsilon) + \mathbf{E}\xi^q I(\xi < \varepsilon) \geq \mathbf{E}\xi^q I(\xi \geq \varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon^q \mathbf{P}(\xi \geq \varepsilon) \implies \mathbf{P}(\xi \geq \varepsilon) \leq \mathbf{E}\xi^q / \varepsilon^q$$

Try  $q = 1/2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\sqrt{|X_n|} &= \mathbf{E}\sqrt{|X_{n-1}|} \mathbf{E}\sqrt{|1 + 1/2\varepsilon_1|} = \\ &= \mathbf{E}\sqrt{|X_{n-1}|} \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}_{\leq 0.97} \implies \mathbf{E}|X_n|^{1/2} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

so  $X_n$  converges to zero in probability and hence also in distribution.

**Note:** it is possible to use the *strong law of large numbers* to show the convergence (how?)

(b) Define  $m_n = \mathbf{E}X_n$ ,  $\Delta_n = X_n - m_n$  and  $V_n = \mathbf{E}\Delta_n^2$ . Then

$$X_n = \alpha X_{n-1} + \beta X_{n-1} \varepsilon_n = \alpha X_{n-1} + \beta m_{n-1} \varepsilon_n + \beta (X_{n-1} - m_{n-1}) \varepsilon_n$$

Set  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_n = (X_{n-1} - m_{n-1}) \varepsilon_n$ , so that the signal/observation model is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= \alpha X_{n-1} + \beta m_{n-1} \varepsilon_n + \beta \tilde{\varepsilon}_n \\ Y_n &= X_{n-1} + \varepsilon_n \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $(\tilde{\varepsilon}_n)$  is an orthogonal sequence, and it is uncorrelated with  $(\varepsilon_n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}\tilde{\varepsilon}_n \varepsilon_k &= \mathbf{E}(X_{n-1} - m_{n-1}) \varepsilon_k \mathbf{E}\varepsilon_n = 0, \quad k < n \\ \mathbf{E}\tilde{\varepsilon}_n \varepsilon_n &= \mathbf{E}(X_{n-1} - m_{n-1}) \mathbf{E}\varepsilon_n^2 = 0 \\ \mathbf{E}\tilde{\varepsilon}_n \varepsilon_k &= \mathbf{E}(X_{n-1} - m_{n-1}) \varepsilon_n \mathbf{E}\varepsilon_k = 0, \quad k > n \end{aligned}$$

So we can apply the Kalman filter:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}_n &= \alpha \hat{X}_{n-1} + \frac{\alpha P_{n-1} + \beta m_{n-1}}{P_{n-1} + 1} (Y_n - \hat{X}_{n-1}) \\ (1.1) \quad P_n &= \alpha^2 P_{n-1} + \beta^2 m_{n-1}^2 + \beta^2 V_{n-1} - \frac{(\alpha P_{n-1} + \beta m_{n-1})^2}{P_{n-1} + 1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_n$  and  $Q_n = V_n + m_n^2 = \mathbf{E}X_n^2$  are generated by

$$\begin{aligned} m_n &= \alpha m_{n-1}, \quad m_0 = 1 \\ Q_n &= (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) Q_{n-1}, \quad Q_0 = 1 \end{aligned}$$

(c) For the special case under consideration  $X_n$  can be precisely estimated out of  $Y_1^n$ , e.g.

$$\mathbf{E}(X_n|Y_1^n) = Y_n^2/2 - 1 = (1 + 2X_{n-1}\varepsilon_n + 1)/2 - 1 = X_{n-1}\varepsilon_n = X_n$$

It is not difficult (e.g. from the previous question), however, to verify that the optimal linear filter gives a trivial estimate  $\hat{X}_n \equiv 0$ ,  $n \geq 1$