

SOLUTION TO THE EXAM 2004 (SUMMER COURSE)

P. CHIGANSKY

Problem 1.

(a) The optimal receiver is given by the Kalman formulae

$$\hat{X}_n = \hat{X}_{n-1} + \frac{b_n P_{n-1}}{1 + b_n^2 P_{n-1}} (Y_n - a_n - b_n \hat{X}_{n-1}) \quad (1)$$

$$P_n = P_{n-1} - \frac{b_n^2 P_{n-1}^2}{1 + b_n^2 P_{n-1}} \quad (2)$$

subject to $\hat{X}_0 = 0$ and $P_0 = 1$.

(b) Note that

$$P_n = \frac{P_{n-1}}{1 + b_n^2 P_{n-1}}$$

and

$$Q_n := P_n^{-1} = Q_{n-1} + b_n^2 = 1 + \sum_{m=1}^n b_m^2$$

so that

$$P_n = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{m=1}^n b_m^2}.$$

Since $\gamma_n = E(a_n + b_n X)^2 = a_n^2 + b_n^2 \leq \gamma$ the choice $a_n = 0$ and $b_n = \sqrt{\gamma}$ minimizes P_n for any $n \geq 1$ and keeps the power within the required limit $\gamma_n \leq \gamma$. The minimal error is then

$$P_n = 1/(1 + n\gamma).$$

(c) If ξ_1 were not Gaussian, smaller estimation error may be attained for any chosen transmitter (why?) - in particular for the transmitter from (b). Thus the error may only decrease.

(d) The generalized Kalman filter implements the optimal receiver: the optimal filter and the conditional mean square error are given by the same equations (1)-(2) with a_n depending on the past of Y .

(e) Y is not necessarily Gaussian, since a_n is allowed to depend nonlinearly on Y - e.g. if $a_2 = \text{sign}(Y_1)$, Y_2 is non Gaussian (why?)

(f) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n = E(a_n(Y_1^{n-1}) + b_n X)^2 &= E(a_n(Y_1^{n-1}) + b_n \hat{X}_{n-1} + b_n(X - \hat{X}_{n-1}))^2 = \\ &= E(a_n(Y_1^{n-1}) + b_n \hat{X}_{n-1})^2 + b_n^2 P_{n-1} \leq \gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

On the other hand, the equation of P_n depends only on b_n :

$$P_n = P_{n-1} \frac{1}{b_n^2 P_{n-1} + 1}, \quad P_0 = 1.$$

By (3) $b_n^2 P_{n-1} \leq \gamma$ and so the latter implies

$$P_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{b_k^2 P_{k-1} + 1} \geq \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\gamma + 1} = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma + 1} \right)^n.$$

This bound is attained if $b_n^2 P_{n-1} = \gamma$, which requires that $a_n(Y_1^{n-1}) = -\widehat{X}_{n-1}$ is chosen. Then

$$b_n = \sqrt{\gamma/P_{n-1}} = \sqrt{\gamma(1+\gamma)^{n-1}}$$

(g) As before

$$P_n = P_{n-1} \frac{1}{1 + b_n^2 (Y_1^{n-1}) P_{n-1}}$$

and so

$$P_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + b_k^2 (Y_1^{k-1}) P_{k-1}} = \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n -\log(1 + b_k^2 (Y_1^{k-1}) P_{k-1}) \right\}$$

Both $\exp(\cdot)$ and $-\log(\cdot)$ are convex functions and so

$$EP_n \geq \exp \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^n -\log(1 + Eb_k^2 (Y_1^{k-1}) P_{k-1}) \right\}$$

which in turn implies

$$EP_n \geq \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + Eb_k^2 (Y_1^{k-1}) P_{k-1}}. \quad (4)$$

Now the power constraint gives

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n &= E(a_n(Y_1^{n-1}) + b_n(Y_1^{n-1})X)^2 = \\ &= E((a_n(Y_1^{n-1}) + b_n(Y_1^{n-1})\widehat{X}_{n-1}) + b_n(Y_1^{n-1})(X - \widehat{X}_{n-1}))^2 = \\ &= E(a_n(Y_1^{n-1}) + b_n(Y_1^{n-1})\widehat{X}_{n-1})^2 + Eb_n^2(Y_1^{n-1})(X - \widehat{X}_{n-1})^2 = \\ &= E(a_n(Y_1^{n-1}) + b_n(Y_1^{n-1})\widehat{X}_{n-1})^2 + Eb_n^2(Y_1^{n-1})P_{n-1} \leq \gamma \end{aligned}$$

and thus the lower bound in (4) implies

$$EP_n \geq \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \gamma}.$$

The power constraint is clearly satisfied if $b_n^2 (Y_1^{n-1}) P_{n-1} = \gamma$ and $a_n(Y_1^{n-1}) = -b_n \widehat{X}_{n-1}$ are set. Moreover in this case

$$P_n = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{1 + \gamma} = \left(\frac{1}{1 + \gamma} \right)^n$$

so that the lower bound for EP_n is attained. So the optimal transmitter is given by

$$\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{P_{n-1}}} (Y_n - \widehat{X}_{n-1}) = \sqrt{\gamma(1+\gamma)^{n-1}} (Y_n - \widehat{X}_{n-1}).$$

Surprisingly no further improvement is gained by letting b_n depend on $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}\}$

Problem 2.

(a) Since $EX_i^4 < \infty$, the strong (e.g. Cantelli) law of large numbers implies

$$S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \xrightarrow[P-a.s.]{n \rightarrow \infty} EX_1^2.$$

The function $1/\sqrt{x}$ is continuous at $x = 1$ and so $1/\sqrt{S_n}$ converges P -a.s. and thus also in probability to 1. Then

$$\sqrt{n}Y^n(1) = \frac{\sqrt{n}X_1}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}} = \frac{X_1}{\sqrt{S_n}} \xrightarrow[P-a.s.]{n \rightarrow \infty} X_1$$

and also in probability (see problem 1.8 in the exercises file #1). Hence $Y^n(1)$ converges weakly as well. Since $Y^n(1)$ is bounded it also converges in \mathbb{L}^2 .

(b) Suppose X_1 is a standard Gaussian r.v. (without loss of generality $EX_1^2 = 1$ is assumed) and let U be an orthogonal matrix. Denote by X^n the vector with entries X_1, \dots, X_n , so that $Y^n = X^n/\|X^n\|$. Then the rotated vector satisfies

$$\tilde{Y}^n := UY^n = UX^n/\|X^n\| = UX^n/\|UX^n\|$$

where the latter is due to $UU^* = I$. The vector UX^n is Gaussian with zero mean and unit covariance (why?), so it is distributed exactly as X^n . So \tilde{Y}^n is distributed as Y^n for any rotation U .

Clearly atomic X_1 cannot lead to the uniform distribution, since rotations would translate the atoms all over the sphere.

(c) Since the uniform distribution is unique and can be realized by Gaussian X_i 's, $Y^n(1)$ should converge to a Gaussian r.v. weakly (in distribution). Obviously it may not converge in probability - imagine that for each n independent X_i 's are used!

Problem 3.

(a) Since

$$P(Z_n = 1) = P(X_n = 1, \dots, X_0 = 1) = P(X_n = 1|X_{n-1} = 1) \dots P(X_1 = 1|X_0 = 1)p(1) = (\lambda_{11})^n p(1) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

Z_n converges to zero in probability and hence in law. Since $|Z_n| \leq 1$, it also converges in \mathbb{L}^2 . Moreover since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(Z_n = 1) < \infty$, $P(Z_n = 1, i.o.) = 0$ and so Z_n converges to zero P -a.s. by the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

(b) Clearly

$$EP(Z_n = 1|Y_1^n) = P(Z_n = 1)$$

and thus $P(Z_n = 1|Y_1^n)$ also converges to zero in prob., weakly and \mathbb{L}^2 .

(c) Z is a Markov process:

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z_n = 1 | Z_0^{n-1}) &= E(Z_n | Z_0^{n-1}) = Z_{n-1} E(X_n | Z_0^{n-1}) = Z_{n-1} E(X_n | Z_{n-1} = 1) = \\ &= Z_{n-1} E(X_n | X_0 = 1, \dots, X_{n-1} = 1) = Z_{n-1} P(X_n = 1 | X_{n-1} = 1) = Z_{n-1} \lambda_1. \end{aligned}$$

The transition probabilities are

$$P(Z_n = 0 | Z_{n-1} = 0) = 1, \quad P(Z_n = 1 | Z_{n-1} = 1) = \lambda_1.$$

(d) Apply the formulae, developed in class

$$\pi_n = \left(1 + \frac{f(Y_n)((1 - \lambda_1)\pi_{n-1} + \lambda_0(1 - \pi_{n-1}))}{f(Y_{n-1})(\lambda_1\pi_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_0)(1 - \pi_{n-1}))} \right)^{-1}.$$

subject to $\pi_0 = p(1) = 1/2$.

(e) Let $r_n := G(Y_n; Y_1^{n-1})$, then

$$E\left((Z_n - G(Y_n; Y_1^{n-1}))\varphi(Y_n) | Y_1^{n-1}\right) = 0$$

for any bounded $\varphi(x)$. The left hand side gives

$$E(Z_n \varphi(Y_n) | Y_1^{n-1}) = E(Z_n \varphi(X_n + \varepsilon_n) | Y_1^{n-1}) = \dots = \widehat{Z}_{n|n-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) f(u-1) du$$

and so¹

$$\widehat{Z}_n = \frac{\widehat{Z}_{n|n-1} f(Y_n - 1)}{f(Y_n - 1)(\lambda_1\pi_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_0)(1 - \pi_{n-1})) + f(Y_n)((1 - \lambda_1)\pi_{n-1} + \lambda_0(1 - \pi_{n-1}))}$$

as usual. Further

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Z}_{n|n-1} &= E(Z_n | Y_1^{n-1}) = E\left(Z_{n-1} E(X_n | X_{n-1}) | Y_1^{n-1}\right) = \\ &= E\left(Z_{n-1} [\lambda_1 X_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_0)(1 - X_{n-1})] | Y_1^{n-1}\right) = \\ &= \lambda_1 \widehat{Z}_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_0)(1 - \widehat{Z}_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

where the latter follows since $Z_{n-1} X_{n-1} = Z_{n-1}$. So

$$\widehat{Z}_n = \frac{f(Y_n - 1)(\lambda_1 \widehat{Z}_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_0)(1 - \widehat{Z}_{n-1}))}{f(Y_n - 1)(\lambda_1\pi_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_0)(1 - \pi_{n-1})) + f(Y_n)((1 - \lambda_1)\pi_{n-1} + \lambda_0(1 - \pi_{n-1}))}$$

or

$$\widehat{Z}_n = \frac{\lambda_1 \widehat{Z}_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_0)(1 - \widehat{Z}_{n-1})}{\lambda_1\pi_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_0)(1 - \pi_{n-1})} \pi_n.$$

¹the right hand side is calculated as in the case of π_n

Problem 4.

(a) Clearly

$$B_1 = \int_0^1 dB_s$$

(b) By the Itô formula $B_t^2 = \int_0^t 2B_u dB_u + t$ and so

$$B_1 = 1 + \int_0^1 2B_u dB_u$$

(c) Applying the Itô formula to $B_t t$ one gets the integration by parts rule

$$d(B_t t) = B_t dt + t dB_t \implies B_1 = \int_0^1 B_t dt + \int_0^1 t dB_t.$$

So

$$\int_0^1 B_t dt = B_1 - \int_0^1 t dB_t = \int_0^1 (1-t) dB_t. \quad (5)$$

(d) Apply the Itô formula to B_t^3

$$B_1^3 = 3 \int_0^1 B_t^2 dB_t + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 6B_t dt$$

Combining this with (5) one gets

$$B_1^3 = \int_0^1 3(B_t^2 + 1-t) dB_t$$

(e) Apply the Itô formula to $e^{B_t-t/2}$:

$$d(e^{B_t-t/2}) = -\frac{1}{2}e^{B_t-t/2} dt + e^{B_t-t/2} dB_t + \frac{1}{2}e^{B_t-t/2} dt = e^{B_t-t/2} dB_t$$

which implies

$$e^{B_1-1/2} - 1 = \int_0^1 e^{B_t-t/2} dB_t$$

or

$$e^{B_1} = e^{1/2} + \int_0^1 e^{(B_t-t/2+1/2)} dB_t.$$

(f) Apply the Itô formula to $e^{t/2} \sin B_t$:

$$d(e^{t/2} \sin B_t) = \frac{1}{2}e^{t/2} \sin B_t dt + e^{t/2} d \sin B_t =$$

$$\frac{1}{2}e^{t/2} \sin B_t dt + e^{t/2} (\cos B_t dB_t - \frac{1}{2} \sin B_t dt) = e^{t/2} \cos B_t dB_t$$

and so

$$e^{1/2} \sin B_1 = \int_0^1 e^{t/2} \cos B_t dB_t \implies \sin B_1 = \int_0^1 e^{(t-1)/2} \cos B_t dB_t$$