

# “In Situ”, p. 1

---

Consider the f-structure of *What did the librarian put on the shelf?*:

- (1) 
$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{FOCUS} \\ \text{OBJ} \end{array} \right\} \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{[“what”]} \end{array} \right]$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{SUBJ} \\ \text{TENSE} \\ \text{PRED} \\ \text{OBL}_{\text{Loc}} \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{l} \text{[“the librarian”]} \\ \text{PAST} \\ \text{[“put”]} \\ \text{[“on the shelf”]} \end{array} \right]$$

**Hypothesis 1:** “*Wh* Movement” constructions are constructions in which some element has more than one grammatical function. Due to some sort of economy constraint, this element only appears once in the structure, so it appears in the canonical position for one of its functions.

**Hypothesis 2:** “*Wh* Movement” constructions actually involve movement. Certain kinds of elements (discourse prominent, scope-taking, ...) need to occupy a higher structural position, so they move to such a position.

Hypothesis 2 makes a prediction that Hypothesis 1 does not make: The multifunctional element (*what* in (1)) must appear in a high structural position. This is because movement is taken to be forced by some principle of the theory. However, Hypothesis 1 does not require the multifunctional element to appear in the structural position of the discourse-prominence function.

In fact, there are languages in which the multifunctional element appears in the canonical position of the locally licensed grammatical function. This kind of construction has been given the unfortunate name “in situ *wh*”.

## Mandarin Chinese

Classification: Sino-Tibetan, Chinese; 873,014,298 speakers. Official language of China and Taiwan and Singapore. Also spoken in Brunei, Indonesia, Malaysia, Mongolia, Philippines, and Thailand

C. T. James Huang (1982) "Move Wh in a Language Without Wh Movement." *The Linguistic Review* 1: 369--416.

- (2) a. Zhangsan wen wo shei mai-le shu  
 Zhangsan ask me who bought books  
 ‘Zhangsan asked me who bought books.’
- b. Zhangsan xiangzin shei mai-le shu  
 Zhangsan believe who bought books  
 ‘Who does Zhangsan believe bought books?’

## “In Situ”, p. 2

---

- c. Zhangsan zhidao shei mai-le shu  
 Zhangsan know who bought books  
 ‘Who does Zhangsan know bought the books?’  
 ‘Zhangsan knows who bought the books.’

### Japanese

Classification uncertain. 122,433,899 speakers. National language of Japan

Louise Mycock (2005) “‘Wh’-in-Situ in Constituent Questions.” in Miriam Butt and Tracy Holloway King, ed., *Proceedings of the LFG 05 Conference, University of Bergen*. On-line: CSLI Publications. 313–333.

- (3) Mari- ga depāto- de dare- ni ranpu- o eranda ka?  
 Mari- NOM dept.store- LOC who- DAT lamp- ACC choose.PAST Q  
 ‘Who did Mari choose a lamp for at the department store?’

### Kikuyu (allows either construction)

Classification: Niger-Congo, Atlantic-Congo, Volta-Congo, Benue-Congo, Bantoid, Southern, Narrow Bantu, Central, E, Kikuyu-Kamba (E.20).5,347,000 speakers in Kenya.

Victoria L. Bergvall (1983) “Wh-Questions and Island Constraints in Kikuyu: A Reanalysis.” in Jonathan Kaye, Hilda Koopman, Dominique Sportiche, and André Dugas, ed., *Current Approaches to African Linguistics* (Vol. 2). Dordrecht: Foris. 245–260.

- (4) a. Oyweſi:ria Goye oiſire maheire keſaſi o?  
 you.think Ngũgĩ said they.gave crab who  
 ‘Who do you think Ngũgĩ said they gave a crab to?’  
 b. Noo oyweſi:ria Goye oiſire maheire keſaſi?  
 FOC.who you.think Ngũgĩ said they.gave crab  
 ‘Who do you think Ngũgĩ said they gave a crab to?’

### Egyptian Arabic (unlike most dialects and unlike Standard Arabic)

Classification: Afro-Asiatic, Semitic, West, Central, Arabic. 46,321,000 speakers. In addition to Egypt, spoken in Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kuwait, Libya, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, Yemen.

Michael Kenstowicz, and Wafaa Wahba (1983) “Wh-in-Situ Constructions in Egyptian Arabic.” in Jonathan Kaye, Hilda Koopman, Dominique Sportiche, and André Dugas, ed., *Current Approaches to African Linguistics* (Vol. 2). Dordrecht: Foris. 261–281.

- (5) a. Fariid ḥatt ʔeḥ ʕala l- tarabeeza.  
 Fariid put what on the- table  
 ‘What did Fariid put on the table?’  
 b. Fariid ḥatt kitaab miin ʕala l- tarabeeza.  
 Fariid put book who on the- table  
 ‘Whose book did Fariid put on the table?’  
 c. Fariid ḥatt kitaab Mona ʕala ʔeḥ.  
 Fariid put book Mona on what  
 ‘What did Fariid put Mona’s book on?’

# “In Situ”, p. 3

---

## Hindi (with scope marker)

Classification: Indo-European, Indo-Iranian, Indo-Aryan, Central zone, Western Hindi, Hindustani. 180,764,791 speakers. Official language of India (spoken in northern India). Also spoken in Nepal, South Africa, and Uganda.

Veneeta Srivastav Dayal (1994) “Scope Marking as Indirect *Wh*-Dependency.” *Natural Language Semantics* 2: 137–170.

- (6) a. Jaun kyaa socta hai Meri kis- se baat karegii ?  
 John what thinks AUX Mary who- with will.talk  
 ‘Who does John think Mary will talk to?’
- b. Jaun kyaa socta hai Meri kahaan jaayegii ?  
 John what thinks AUX Mary where will.go  
 ‘Where does John think Mary will go?’

## Lakhota (Internally Headed Relative Clause)

Classification: [Amerind?,] Siouan, Siouan Proper, Central, Mississippi Valley, Dakota. 6,000 speakers. Spoken in the USA in Northern Nebraska, southern Minnesota, North and South Dakota, northeastern Montana.

Robert D. Van Valin, Jr., and Randy J. LaPolla (1997) *Syntax: Structure, Meaning, and Function*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

- (4) Wičáša ki [[šų'ka wą igmú ki ø- ø- yaxtáke] ki le]  
 man the dog a cat the 3sgOBJ- 3sgSUBJ- bite the this  
 wą- ø- ø- yáke yelo.  
 3sgOBJ- 3sgSUBJ- see DEC  
 ‘The man saw the dog which bit the cat.’

## English

Classification: Indo-European, Germanic, West, English. 309,352,280 speakers.

Jonathan Ginzburg, and Ivan A. Sag (2000) *Interrogative Investigations: The Form, Meaning, and Use of English Interrogatives*. Stanford, Calif.: CSLI Publications.

(These are non-echo questions.)

- (7) a. A: Well, anyway, I'm leaving.  
 B: OK. so you'll be leaving WHEN exactly?
- b. A: I'm annoyed.  
 B: Aha. You're annoyed with WHOM?

## So,

how can one analyze “in-situ” *wh*? Under Hypothesis 1, there is nothing special to say, and the name “in-situ” is inaccurate. In these languages, as in languages like English, the element in question has two functions, and it is “in-situ” for one of these functions. There is no real difference (in terms of

## “In Situ”, p. 4

---

grammatical functions—f-structure) between “movement” languages and “in-situ” languages. However, under Hypothesis 2, there is a fundamental difference between these two types of languages: in “in-situ” languages there is no *wh* movement. A reason needs to be discovered for this reason. In addition, since these are not *wh* movement constructions, they should not be subject to constraints on *wh* movement. These constraints are generally known as island constraints.

Despite the predictions of Hypothesis 2 (and in accordance with the prediction of Hypothesis 1), “*wh*-in-situ” does obey at least some island constraints. This is illustrated here for the Complex NP Constraint:

### Kikuyu

- (8) a. \*Matiɔ:e mo:do orea otiniriɛ mahao mareko?  
 they.not.know person DEM cut flowers which  
 ‘Which flowers did they not know anyone who cut?’
- b. \*Mɔ:niriɛ mo:do orea otiniriɛ mahao mareko?  
 they.saw person DEM cut flowers which  
 ‘Which flowers did they see the person who cut?’
- c. \*Kamau ɔ:niriɛ mo:do orea oringire o?  
 Kamau saw person DEM hit who  
 ‘Who did Kamau see the person who hit?’

### Egyptian Arabic (\* for conservative speakers)

- (9) \*Fariid simiɫ iʃaaʃit inn Mona yimkin titgawwiz miin?  
 Fariid heard rumor that Mona might marry who  
 ‘Who did Fariid hear a rumor that Mona might marry?’

### Lakhota

- (10) \*Wičáša ki [[šúka wə táku                    ɔ-                    ɔ-                    yaxtáke] ki le]  
 man the dog a what/something 3sgOBJ- 3sgSUBJ- bite the this  
 wə- ɔ-                    ɔ-                    yáka he ?  
 3sgOBJ- 3sgSUBJ- see Q  
 ‘\*What did the man see the dog which bit?’  
 (grammatical with the meaning: ‘Did the man see the dog which bit something?’)

In some languages, the situation is more complicated.

# “In Situ”, p. 5

---

## Mandarin Chinese

Focusing is subject to island constraints, but *wh* questions are not (unless the *wh* is embedded in a specific NP).

- (11) a. \*Wo xihuan shi Zhangsan mai de neizhi gou.  
I like FOC Zhangsan buy REL that dog  
'I like the dog that it is Zhangsan that bought.'
- b. Shei yao mai de shu zui gui?  
who want buy REL book most expensive  
'Books that *who* wants to buy are most expensive?'  
(cf. English \*Who are books that want to buy most expensive?)
- c. \*Tou-le sheme de neige ren bei dai-e?  
stole what REL that person by caught  
'The man that stole *what* was caught?'

## Japanese

Akira Watanabe (2001) “*Wh*-in-Situ Languages.” in Mark Baltin and Chris Collins, ed., *The Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Theory*. Oxford: Blackwell. 203–225.

Adjuncts (but not arguments) are subject to the Complex NP Constraint; however, even arguments are subject to the *Wh* Island constraint.

- (12) a. \*Kare- wa John- ga naze kaita hon- o yonde- iru no ?  
he- TOP John- NOM why wrote book- ACC read- PROG Q  
'Why is he reading a book that John wrote?' (=he is reading a book that John wrote for what reason)
- b. Kare- wa dare- ga kaita hon- o yonde- iru no ?  
he- TOP who- NOM wrote book- ACC read- PROG Q  
'Who is he reading a book that wrote?'
- c. ??Nani- o doko- de katta ka oboete- iru no ?  
what- ACC where- at bought Q remember- PROG Q  
What do you remember where we bought?

## English

*Wh*-in-situ is never subject to island constraints.

- (13) (A question to a guest on a radio show about the interim chief of the US Attorney's office)  
This is a position that is **how important** in your judgment, Rory?