

Global structure, emotion and tension in progressive rock and classical music

Anat Wax-Shalom¹, Yonatan Vaizman², Nori Jacoby³, Roni Yeger-Granot¹ 1. Department of Musicology, Hebrew University, Jerusalem. 2. Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California San Diego. 3. Hebrew University and Bar Ilan University.

BACKGROUND

Global structure seems to have limited influence on subjects' ratings of emotional profile, coherence and musical flow (Karno & Konecni, 1992; Tillman & Bigand, 1996; Lalitte, Bigand, Kantor-Martynuska, & Delbe, 2009; Granot & Jacoby, 2011, 2012).

RESEARCH QUESTION

Can continuous tension ratings and listeners' open comments regarding their listening experience reveal their sensitivity to the musical global-structure?



second theme

first theme

Reordered:



I. Open comments

Listen once and "write down what is your general impression from the composition (enjoyment, interest, metaphors that occurred to you, emotions or other thoughts)".

> All four pieces were described as pleasurable

second theme

- > M. most comments described emotions such as relaxation and pleasantness (~45%)
- > T. most comments describes expressions of interest such as cognitive evaluation and curiosity (~34%)
- Reordered pieces received longer descriptions

Average Tension curves

> M. - average tension contours differ from the inverted U shape typical of Sonata form (development = highest tension) in both the O. and R. pieces



Average tension contour for M.O. and M.R. rearranged in the original structure

	Average number of words per answer
Mozart-original (M.O.)	15
Mozart-reordered (M.R.)	21
Trespass-original (T.O.)	16.5
Trespass-reordered (T.R.)	18

> M.R. - some answers included contrastive descriptions such as "Sometimes calm and sometimes bouncy"

2. Continuous Tension Measurements

While listening to the composition for the 2nd time, listeners were asked to continuously rate the degree of tension using the wheel of a MIDI keyboard.



- > O. pieces of both genres elicited higher mean inter-subject correlations: \succ M.O. M=0.42 (MAX=0.917, MIN=(-0.217))



Average tension contour for $T_{.O.}$ and $T_{.R.}$ rearranged in the original structure

- > Global contours of O. and R. are very similar
- > Local differences in tension level and in PCA behavior
- > Tension ratings can be partially explained by acoustic measures only in T. such that: T volume= T tension (T.O. P<.0001, r = 0.575; T.R. P<.0001, r=0.248), low register= T tension (T.O. P<.0001, r = -0.616; T.R. P<.0001, r = -0.444)

 \succ M.R. M=0.276 (MAX=0.886, MIN=(-0.342)) \succ T.O. M=0.607 (MAX=0.946, MIN=0.033) \succ T.R. M=0.412 (MAX=0.931, MIN=(-0.492))

Contact anatwax@gmail.com



SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

- > Tension contour was more affected by musical content than by structural form
- > Although direct ratings of coherence and flow do not show any differences between O. and R. pieces, more implicit measures do show that subjects are sensitive at some level to the global-structure. R. pieces arouse:
 - > longer open comments and/or more contrastive descriptions
 - > lower inter-subject correlations in tension ratings
 - > different tension levels as compared to the original pieces, although with similar overall shape
- > Musical genre had an effect on the typical category of descriptors in the open comments, with higher inter-subject correlations in tension ratings and higher memory scores in the more familiar progressive rock genre